Color Restoration in Turbid Medium
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ABSTRACT

Light scattering and color distortions are two major issues with underwater imaging. Scattering occurs due to turbidity of the medium and color distortions are caused by differential attenuation of wavelengths as a function of depth. As a result, underwater images taken in a turbid medium have low contrast, color cast, and color loss. The main objective of this work is color restoration of underwater images i.e., produce its equivalent image as seen outside of the water surface. As a first step, we account for low contrast by employing dark channel prior based dehazing. These images are then color corrected by learning a mapping function between a pair of color chart images, one taken inside water and another taken outside. The mapping thus learned is with respect to a reference distance from the water surface. We also propose a color modulation scheme that is applied prior to color mapping to accommodate the same mapping function for different depths as well. Color restoration results are given on several images to validate the efficacy of the proposed methodology.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding and investigating underwater activities using images has been gaining popularity over the past few years. Classical image processing tools fail in underwater scenarios due to variations in optical properties of water. Water being dense, light gets attenuated and scatters more as compared to its transport in air. These effects are directly responsible for image degradations in the form of blur, contrast loss, color cast and color loss.

Scattering is caused either due to suspended particles or due to turbidity in the medium. This induces color cast and haze to the captured images. Attenuation occurs due to absorption of light rays, as they travel through a medium. Different wavelengths of light get attenuated differently in water and hence the light reflected from the object inside water has different attenuation factors across the visible spectrum. Red undergoes severe attenuation followed by green, while blue is the least attenuated and penetrates the most. The net result is color loss. True color plays a very important role while analyzing specimens found in water. In this paper, we initially mitigate the effect of color cast and haze, and proceed to develop an approach for color correction which compensates for color loss in underwater images.

Several methods already exist for color correction of terrestrial images. These include intensity normalization [7], radiometric correction [17], white-balancing to a canonical illumination, and color correction [20]. A detailed survey on different color balancing techniques can be found in [10]. However, these methods fail to deliver good results on underwater images if employed as is. Methods has been developed in the literature that are specifically tailored to handle underwater degradations. In the literature, three types of approaches are prevalent for color correction of underwater images captured in turbid scenarios. These are enhancement based, dehazing based and sensor based.

Inspired by color and lightness constancy mechanisms of the human visual system, Chambah et al. [4] proposed an automatic color equalization approach to balance colors in underwater images. Luz et al. [21] proposed an MRF based approach where the color correction is considered as a task of assigning color to each node in the graph which best describes the surroundings. The parameters of MRF model are learnt from an image pair consisting of color corrected and color depleted patches. Bazeille et al. [2] use a series of image enhancement filters to improve edge visibility and contrast. Color restoration is done through linear translation of the histogram. Iqbal et al. [12] propose a two-stage approach consisting of histogram equalization of RGB channels followed by stretching of saturation and intensity channels of HSI to increase true color and to solve the lightness problem. Marine snow limits the performance of conventional color correction algorithms due to its shiny appearance. Boffety et al. [3] propose a modified histogram
In this paper, we propose a framework for restoration of colors in turbid underwater imagery. We propose a learning based technique in which we learn a mapping between a pair of images for a reference depth. The image pair consists of a color chart taken under the water surface and its corresponding pair taken outside water surface. Visibility loss due to turbidity is accounted for by dehazing using dark channel prior. The dehazed image is further color corrected using the learned mapping. When there are depth changes from the reference, a color modulation technique is applied prior to color mapping to match the reference depth. In Fig. 1, the input image in (a) was captured at a depth different from the reference depth. Hence it is subjected to color modulation (b) prior to applying color correction (c) and white balancing (d). In the final result (Fig. 1(d)), the bluish tint is removed (when compared to the input) and colors properly restored.

Our main contributions are as follows

- We perform color correction of underwater images taken in turbid medium. Post correction, the recovered colors resemble their equivalent outside the water surface.
- We achieve the above by learning a color mapping between colors of a color chart taken inside and outside water. The mapping is learned for a reference depth.
- To accommodate different depths, we propose a color modulation scheme that is applied prior to color mapping.
- Our approach is the first of its kind to use color mapping for color correction in turbid water.

In Section 2, we describe underwater image formation. The proposed mapping based color correction scheme is discussed in Section 3. This is followed by quantitative and qualitative results in Section 4. We conclude with Section 5.

2. IMAGE FORMATION

In this section, we will briefly discuss the underwater image formation model used in this work. Fig 2 gives an illustration of the model. Throughout our discussions, we shall assume ambient light source alone. The effect of any external illuminant is not incorporated into the model. The image formation models in [8], [6] consider the effect of light scattering during the course of propagation from water surface to the object as well as from the object to the camera. A light beam with energy $E^A(x)$ gets attenuated with respect to the distance $D$ traveled from the water surface to the object surface. This attenuated ray is reflected from the object surface based on the surface reflectivity $\rho$ of the object as $E^A(x)N_{ref}(\lambda)^{D(x)}\rho(x)$. This reflected light has to cover a distance of $d$ to the camera during which it encounters attenuation again. The image will further get affected by water...
I observed image neck as it reduces visibility and results in low contrast. The scattering of light increases with turbidity. The attenuation factor (normalized energy ratio) encountered at different wavelengths and $A_\lambda$ is the homogeneous background light. This can be equated to the hazy image formation model in \cite{11} given by
\[ I_\lambda(x) = J_\lambda(x) t_\lambda(x) + (1 - t_\lambda(x)) A_\lambda \tag{2} \]
where $J_\lambda(x)$ is the scene radiance at point $x$ and $t_\lambda(x)$ is the residual energy ratio of $J_\lambda(x)$ reaching the camera after reflecting from the point $x$. From Eq. (1) and (2) it can be noted that $t_\lambda(x)$ is a function of both wavelength and the object to camera distance $d(x)$ and it can be written in terms of the attenuation factor $N_{rer}(\lambda)$ as
\[ t_\lambda(x) = e^{-\beta_\lambda d(x)} = N_{rer}(\lambda)^{d(x)} \tag{3} \]
where $\beta_\lambda$ is the attenuation coefficient corresponding to each wavelength. Based on the water type, the normalized energy ratio $N_{rer}(\lambda)$ can be given as
\[ N_{rer}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0.83 \sim 0.85 & \text{if } \lambda \in R \\ 0.93 \sim 0.97 & \text{if } \lambda \in G \\ 0.95 \sim 0.99 & \text{if } \lambda \in B \end{cases} \]

3. MAPPING FOR COLOR CORRECTION

We propose here a mapping based method for color restoration of underwater images. The learning is done only once for a pair of color channels corresponding to underwater image at reference depth $D_0$. When the incoming image is from the same reference depth, it is first subjected to dehazing to remove the scattering effects. The dehazed image is then color corrected using color mapping. White balancing is performed on the color mapped image in order to remove any remaining color casts. If the input underwater image is from a different depth $D (\neq D_0)$, it is color modulated to the reference depth $D_0$ prior to color mapping. A flowchart of the proposed method is shown in Fig. 3. The steps involved in the process are explained below in detail.

3.1 Dehazing and depth estimation

Classical approaches on depth estimation from an image pair work by utilizing cues such as parallax, blur etc. In hazy environments, haze is directly proportional to depth, and hence can itself be used as a cue for depth. The dark channel prior (DCP) \cite{11}, can adequately quantify the concentration of haze in terrestrial images and has been used to infer scene depth in hazy environments. It is based on the central idea that in most non-sky patches, at least one color channel has very low intensity. The assumptions made in DCP are valid for underwater images as well i.e. at least one color channel has pixel intensity close to zero. DCP has been widely used in dehazing of underwater images too \cite{6}, \cite{16}.

The reflected light from a point $x$ is given as
\[ J_\lambda(x) = I_\lambda(x)^A_\lambda \tag{4} \]
where $\Omega(x)$ is a patch around the pixel $x$. As per dark channel prior, the assumption holds that at least one channel has intensity close to zero and that channel is the dark channel. Hence, $J_{dark}(x) \approx 0$. Taking the min operation in local patches on the observed image in Eq. (1) gives
\[ \min_{y \in \Omega(x)} I_\lambda(y) = \min_{y \in \Omega(x)} J_\lambda(y) A_\lambda \tag{5} \]
For a local patch around $x$, $N_{rer}(\lambda)^{d(y)}$ is a constant and is approximately equal to that at $x$. Taking one more min operation with respect to $\lambda$ on both sides gives
\[ \min_{y \in \Omega(x)} \min_\lambda I_\lambda(y) = J_{dark}(x) N_{rer}(\lambda)^{d(x)} + (1 - N_{rer}(\lambda)^{d(y)}) A_\lambda \tag{6} \]
\[ \min_\lambda (1 - N_{rer}(\lambda)^{d(x)}) A_\lambda \tag{7} \]
The first term in the RHS is approximately zero (due to DCP) and the above equation can be rearranged as
\[ \min_\lambda (N_{rer}(\lambda)^{d(x)}) = 1 - \min_\lambda \left( \min_{y \in \Omega(x)} \frac{I_\lambda(y)}{A_\lambda} \right) \tag{8} \]

The homogeneous background light refers to the brightest pixel intensity in the image. But this might go wrong in the
Figure 5: Color mapping: (a) Reference image taken outside the water surface. (b) Input image taken underwater. (c) Result of color mapping applied to (b).

presents of shining surface in the observed image. Hence, the input hazy image is first subjected to local minima operation in local patches and the background light is defined as the maximum intensity among all the local minima.

\[ A_\lambda = \max_{x \in I} \min_{y \in \hat{I}_\lambda(x)} I_\lambda(y) \]  

Given the background light and \( N_{res}(R) \), the object to camera distance \( d(x) \) can be calculated using Eq. (8). The dehazed image \( J_\lambda(x) \) can then be estimated using Eq. (4). Fig. 4 shows an example of dehazing. The recovered depthmap and the haze removed image are shown respectively in Figs. 4(b) and (c).

3.2 Color mapping

The goal of color mapping is to map colors from a source to a target image. A scene imaged under different situations will show color variations depending upon the surrounding illumination as well as the characteristics of the imaging device used. A mapping function \( f \) can be found in such situations that maps the colors from a standard color chart (taken with a camera under some illuminant) to the required reference colors (as seen from a particular camera under some particular illuminant). But these functions are specific to the illuminants and cameras. It has to be learned whenever the camera or illuminant changes.

Here we employ color mapping to map from a dehazed image in underwater to that of its equivalent taken outside the water surface. This mapping is specific for an object to water surface distance \( D \). We learn this mapping for a color chart pair taken inside and outside the water surface.

The mapping can be written as a transform \( T \) which when applied on the RGB value from input will give the corresponding RGB value of the reference image. For a linear mapping, the dimension of \( T \) turns out to be \( 3 \times 3 \). Instead, we consider a polynomial model of degree \( n \) where \( n \leq 10 \). Hence, our transform matrix is a \( 3 \times n \) matrix. This transform is learned using \( M \) corresponding pixels from the color charts taken under the two conditions described earlier. The higher order terms considered are \([r, g, b, rg, rb, gb, r^2, g^2, b^2, rgb]^T\). Let the reference image’s pixel intensity be collected in a matrix \( R_{3 \times M} \) and its corresponding intensity with its higher orders from the source image be collected in matrix \( S_{n \times M} \). The mapping can then be written as

\[ R_{3 \times M} = T_{3 \times n} S_{n \times M} \]  

There are \( 3n \) unknowns to be solved. Hence, we need at least \( M = 3n \) unique correspondences. Since we have color charts imaged under both conditions, we pick \( M >> 3n \) correspondences and solve an over determined system of equations. Eq. (10) can be rewritten in the form of \( y = Ax \) as shown in Eq. (11) and solved using least squares method as \( x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T y \).

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  r_1 & g_1 & \ldots & b_1 & r_3 g_1 b_1 & 0_{1 \times n} & 0_{1 \times n} \\
  0_{1 \times n} & r_1 & g_1 & \ldots & b_3 & r_1 g_1 b_1 & 0_{1 \times n} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\times
\begin{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
    T_{11} \\
    T_{12} \\
    \vdots \\
    T_{1n} \\
    \vdots \\
    T_{31} \\
    \vdots \\
  \end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
    R_1 \\
    G_1 \\
    B_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    R_M \\
    G_M \\
    B_M \\
  \end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

Fig. 5 our result for color mapping. Figs. 5(a) and (b) are the two corresponding images taken outside and inside water surface, respectively. The mapping is learned between these two images and is used to map the colors from an underwater image to that of the reference image outside water. The obtained result after color mapping is shown in Fig. 5(c). The mapped colors closely resemble their equivalent taken outside the water surface (Fig. 5(a)).

3.2.1 White Balancing

White balancing is used to remove unrealistic color casts from the captured images. It works with the assumption that there is at least one white point in the captured image. There are different methods of white balancing such as gray world, shades of gray, white patch algorithm etc. We used the gray world algorithm which assumes that average reflectance of a scene is gray. It works by equalizing the means of all the color channels. It keeps the green channel as reference and multiplies the means of other channels with a gain factor such that all the means become equal. Let \( R_m, G_m \) and \( B_m \) be the means of the three color channels.
Figure 7: Quantitative results for color charts at same reference depth but different object to camera distances. All of these images are captured in clear water without turbidity. The reference image taken outside water is given in Fig 5(a). (a) Input image. (b) Our result. (c) Result obtained by directly white balancing (DWB) the input.

Then the white balanced image is given by

\[
    R_w(x, y) = \frac{G_w(x, y)}{R_m} R(x, y) \\
    G_w(x, y) = G(x, y) \\
    B_w(x, y) = \frac{G_w(x, y)}{B_m} B(x, y)
\] (12)

We apply white balancing post color mapping to remove any unwanted color casts that can result due to mapping errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Our result</th>
<th>DWB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>23.68</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>20.01</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Quantitative analysis (PSNR in dB).

3.3 Color Modulation

The discussions till now tacitly assumed the distance from water surface to the object to be constant. Let this distance be the reference depth \( D_0 \). This is the depth for which the color mapping was learned between the color charts. (This mapping is fixed and is used on all the examples in the paper.) When the water column depth changes, this mapping no longer holds. With increase or decrease in the depth, the attenuation encountered by the light beam changes and the observed colors will change. The effect of change in \( D \) on the observed colors can be seen from Fig. 6. As depth increases, the light rays get attenuated and only the wavelength corresponding to blue dominates.

Since the learning was done for reference depth \( D_0 \), an image obtained at any other depth level (say \( D_1 \)) is first dehazed to obtain the scene radiance \( J \). The scene radiance is dependent on the scene depth as can be seen from Eq.

Figure 8: Experiments on images taken at same reference depth and with little turbidity. (a) Input images. (b) Color corrected result. (c) Final result after white balancing (b).

Figure 9: Experiments on images taken at same reference depth but with higher turbidity.
Figure 10: Input from different depth $D$. (a) Input. (b) Color modulated image. (c) Output of color mapping. (d) The bluish tint in (c) is removed by white balancing. (e) Result obtained by directly white balancing the input in (a). (f) Result obtained by histogram equalization of the input in (a).

(4). Hence the radiance image is first color-modulated to the reference depth prior to applying the color mapping. Let the observed scene radiance at $D_0$ be $J_0$ and at depth $D_1$ be $J_1$. Suppose $D_1 > D_0$. Then the modulation is done as follows

$$J_{0\lambda} = E_{\lambda}^A N_{rer}(\lambda)^{D_0} \rho_x$$
$$J_{1\lambda} = E_{\lambda}^A N_{rer}(\lambda)^{D_1} \rho_x$$

We need to boost $J_1$ to $J_0$ and it is given as

$$J_{0\lambda} = J_{1\lambda} N_{rer}(\lambda)^{D_0 - D_1}$$

We need to boost $J_1$ to $J_0$ and it is given as

$$J_{0\lambda} = J_{1\lambda} N_{rer}(\lambda)^{D_0 - D_1}$$

Since the decay coefficients are $< 1$ and the power term is negative, this boosts up each channel strength towards the reference frame.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The performance of our learning based color correction scheme is evaluated both quantitatively and qualitatively using images captured under different depths and object to camera distances. The experiments are mainly performed in an aquarium and in an indoor tank. Some results are also shown on images taken from [6]. The aquarium is of size 120 cm $\times$ 60 cm $\times$ 50 cm so we could get a maximum reference depth $D_0$ of 50 cm. The indoor tank has a depth of 7 meters.

4.1 Quantitative Analysis

A quantitative analysis of the proposed algorithm is made by color correcting the captured images of color charts at different object to camera distances. The input images of Fig. 7 are taken at an object to camera distance of 35, 55 and 81 cm, respectively. These inputs are subjected to dehazing, color mapping and white balancing to obtain the color corrected results in Fig. 7(b). The result of directly white balancing (DWB) the input is also shown for each of these inputs in Fig. 7(c). The results obtained are then compared with the reference color chart taken outside the water surface (Fig. 5(a)). Quantitative measure based on PSNR is provided in Table 1. It is quite evident from Fig. 7 and the quantitative evaluation in Table 1 that the color restoration efficiency of our method is far better as compared to just white balancing. As the object to camera distance is increased, light rays reflecting from the object undergo more attenuation. The colors with red tint get attenuated more and some of the colors having red in them are indistinguishable as can be seen from the input in the bottom row of Fig. 7. But these colors are correctly recovered and are distinguishable in our results (Fig. 7(b)).

4.2 Real Experiments

We begin with experiments on images captured in mild turbidity. These images were captured at the same reference depth for which the mapping was learned for. Even though the effect of haze due to turbidity is less for these images, the effect of scattering cannot be neglected in underwater imaging. Hence, we dehaze these inputs as well. Fig. 8 shows the result obtained by our method on two sets of images taken under the same acquisition conditions. The color cast in the input is due to light attenuation. The color corrected final result after white balancing the color mapped images are shown in the last row. The final result (Fig. 8(c)) is well-enhanced in colors and the color cast is almost completely removed by our method.

We also verified the performance of our scheme in a more turbid scenario. It is common to emulate turbidity by adding a suitable quantity of milk. Fig. 9 shows the results of our method for such a scenario. The acquired images had color distortions as well as hazy appearance. Since the images captured were from the same reference depth, no color mod-
D > D

greater than the reference depth (in a large water tank. Hence, the depth of these scenes is from the reference. The input image in Fig. 10 is captured for our next experiment on images taken at a different depth appearance but these are restored correctly by our method.

and orange colors in the inputs were more or less similar in colors and contrast recovered properly. The light red, pink in Fig. 9 along with the hazy input. The output has its mapping and white balancing. The final result is provided simulation was done. The input was dehazed followed by color mapping and white balancing. The final result is provided in Fig. 9 along with the hazy input. The output has its colors and contrast recovered properly. The light red, pink and orange colors in the inputs were more or less similar in appearance but these are restored correctly by our method.

To show the efficacy of color modulation, we conducted our next experiment on images taken at a different depth from the reference. The input image in Fig. 10 is captured in a large water tank. Hence, the depth of these scenes is greater than the reference depth ($D > D_0$). The depth of the object from the water surface is 7 meter while the reference depth is only around 50 cm. Hence, prior to color mapping, color modulation is performed to boost the channel strength to that of the reference. The result of each step for the complete pipeline is shown in Fig. 10. The input is first dehazed and color boosted, and the result is shown in Fig. 10(b). This image is then subjected to color mapping. The color mapped image (Fig. 10(c)) had a color cast which is corrected by the white balancing step. The final result of our method is provided in Fig. 10(d). For purpose of comparison, the result of directly white balancing the input image is shown in Fig. 10(e). This result is not satisfactory as the colors are not properly mapped and have low contrast. The histogram equalized result is provided in Fig. 10(f) which is also not acceptable. It can be observed here that the contrast has increased significantly but the restored image has unnatural colors. Compared to these two methods, the colors are significantly better restored in our result. Please refer to the inset image shown in Fig. 10(d) which is captured outside the water surface to visually verify the restored colors.

Three more sets of additional results are provided in Fig. 11 to show the importance of our color modulation scheme. These are scuba diving images. The input images are first dehazed and then color modulated using the prior information that these were captured at a depth of about 8 meters from the water surface. The final results obtained for these input images are shown in Fig. 11(b). For comparison, we also provide the result of DWB in Fig. 11(c). White balancing the input as such removes the color cast but the recovered images have less contrast and the colors are not restored properly; whereas our method removes the color cast and restores the colors as well.

Comparisons with other correcting methods are shown in Fig. 12. The comparison results shown in Figs. 12 (b),(c) and (e) are based on chromatic dehazing, histogram equalization and wavelength compensation (WCID) methods, respectively, and are taken from [6]. The result of directly white balancing the input and the result of our mapping based color restoration method are shown in Figs. 12(d) and (f). It can be noticed here that our method performs on par with the state-of-art method in [6] (Fig. 12(e)), while computationally being more efficient. The method in [6] is basically a step-by-step inversion of the image formation model to retrieve the radiance, whereas our aim is to produce an equivalent of the underwater image as seen from outside the water surface which we achieve through dehazing, color modulation and color mapping. Additional results and comparisons are provided in supplementary material.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed here a scheme for color restoration of underwater images taken in turbid medium. Turbidity leads to haziness in the captured images along with color loss. We employed the idea of color mapping and mapped the colors of an underwater image to its equivalent as seen from outside the water surface. The mapping is learned only once for a particular reference depth. We also proposed a color modulation module to enable the same mapping to be applicable at different depths. We also accounted for haze by using the dark channel prior. Both quantitative as well as qualitative results were provided on many examples.
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Figure 12: Comparisons (a) Input. (b) Image obtained after chromatism based dehazing. (c) Image obtained after histogram equalization. (d) Image obtained by direct white balancing of the input. (e) Result of WCID. (f) Our result.


